INVESTIGATIVE GROUP

Minimum Awan enters federal court Oct. 6, 2017 / One America News | A Pakistani, DWS Laptop

Imran Awan enters federal court Oct. 6, 2017 / One America News | A Pakistani, DWS Laptop And A Phone Booth

Trump Is Right: A 'Pakistani Mystery Man' Has Documents Wasserman Schultz Didn't Want Prosecutors To See [VIDEO]

Pho to of Luke Investigative Reporter Rosiak

586

A key, if under-covered, aspect of the "Pakistani mystery man" story is that Imran Awan, the Pakistani-born IT aide of former DNC head Debbie Wasserman Schultz, took a laptop with username RepDWS after he was banned from the House computer network for "unauthorized access to data," and then left it in a phone booth with a letter to prosecutors.

On Friday, President Donald Trump <u>tweeted</u>: "Just heard the Campaign was sued by the Obstructionist Democrats. This can be good news in that we will now counter for the DNC Server that they refused to give to the FBI, the Debbie Wasserman Schultz Servers and Documents held by the Pakistani mystery man and Clinton Emails."

Trump appears to have accurately identified a key issue with the "Pakistani mystery man" that comes straight from court documents.

- Lawyers for Pakistani-born Imran Awan currently have a copy of the contents of a laptop with the username RepDWS
- Wasserman Schultz wanted to block prosecutors from seeing what was on it

- Imran's lawyers have attempted to set up a situation where it is up to Imran whether prosecutors can see the laptop, claiming "attorney client privilege"
- Other analysts say the laptop should be fair game for review

Each twist has increased the intrigue:

- On Feb. 2, 2017, Imran was banned from the House computer network for making "unauthorized access" to congressional data, according to the House inspector general
- This happened not long after Wasserman Schultz was fired from the DNC after a cyber breach, yet she refused to fire Imran or even put him on paid leave, claiming that an IT aide didn't need to access the internet to do his job
- Wasserman Schultz's refusal to fire him meant he had continued physical access to the congressional office buildings, even though all of his other part-time employers fired him and he knew there was an ongoing criminal investigation
- On April 5, 2017, despite not being allowed to connect to the House network, he was in
 possession of a laptop with the username RepDWS and left it in a phone booth, where it
 was picked up by police who confiscated it because they recognized that it was left there by
 a criminal suspect

Let that sink in. A Pakistani IT aide, who had just been fired by all his other employers for being a suspected hacker, left a laptop with the username RepDWS in a phone booth. The laptop was placed next to a letter to prosecutors. What it said is not publicly known. The cache of documents also included copies of Awan's ID (which assured that police tied the laptop to a criminal suspect and seized it as evidence rather than returning it as lost property) and a note that said "attorney client privilege," which put the laptop into legal limbo.

It is not clear whether the laptop was left out of carelessness or was potentially stolen and possibly planted for police to find.

• It was left in the booth (photo to the right) with highly specific and sensitive materials

- It may have been left there late at night. Building staff called police at 12:21 a.m. to report "an unattended bag in the phone booth on the 2nd floor." Imran's attorney said in court that it was snatched by police while he had stepped out of the phone booth to search for better reception: "What occurred is a backpack from my client was found, he was trying to get a better signal, there was a note that said attorney client privilege and a hard drive." Gowen later offered TheDCNF a seemingly conflicting account, saying in an email: "He wasn't there late at night that is just false."
- The phone booth is in the Rayburn House Office Building. Wasserman Schultz's office is in Longworth, a different building.

What happened next adds to the mystery. On May 17, 2017, Wasserman Schultz used a hearing on the Capitol Police's budget to <u>threaten Police Chief</u> <u>Matthew R. Verderosa with</u>

Wasserman Schultz's laptop was left in an old phone booth in the Rayburn building like this one. / Photo: DCNF Rosiak

Wasserman Schultz's laptop was left in an old phone booth in the Rayburn building like this one. / Photo: DCNF

<u>"consequences" if he didn't return what she characterized as "a member's</u> <u>equipment."</u> She repeatedly asked whether it was a member or a staffer who was under investigation, saying since it was a member's equipment, police shouldn't be able to take it unless the member was under investigation. A member of the Committee on Appropriations' Legislative Branch Subcommittee, she has significant influence over the Capitol Police's budget.

WATCH:



When that didn't work, she escalated, raising the prospect of <u>re-arranging</u> the Capitol Police's entire board to prevent Verderosa from being a voting member, and lamented that not all of the board's members were subject to oversight by her committee. Questioning Verderosa's boss, Sergeantat-Arms Paul Irving, she said: "We have had jurisdictional issues and a challenging time conducting oversight because of the structure of the Capitol Police Board and there — there being a (inaudible) line rather than a direct line to us in terms of being able to hold the board accountable."

"I'd like to know, sergeant, if you think that we should be looking at restructuring the way the board makes decisions so that we can establish a more direct line of accountability ... At the end of the day, [the chief] doesn't have a decision-making role," Wasserman Shultz continued.

She also <u>used campaign funds</u> to hire a lawyer to keep the laptop out of prosecutors' hands. And it wasn't just any lawyer — <u>it was Bill Pittard</u>, who until months prior had been working as acting general counsel of the House of Representatives, a position with responsibilities that include deciding what to turn over to the executive branch pursuant to legal activity.

In August, after Imran's arrest at Dulles airport, Wasserman Schultz changed her tune, saying "This was not my laptop. I have never seen that laptop. I don't know what's on the laptop." She said it was Imran's laptop but purchased using taxpayer funds from her office.

She said Imran's "due process" rights had inspired her demeanor during the Capitol Police exchange and the lengths to which she went to shield the laptop. She then said she was no longer seeking to block prosecutors from looking at the laptop.

But by then, Imran had taken up the same quest. In September, prosecutors <u>turned over a copy of the government laptop</u> to Imran, even though he had been banned from the House network because he was viewed as a threat. In October, Imran's attorney, Chris Gowen — a former aide to Hillary Clinton — said in court that Imran was invoking attorney-client privilege on the laptop and that they <u>"feel very strongly" that the hard drive not be able to be used as evidence</u>.

Prosecutors gave Imran a copy of the laptop found in a phone booth / Federal court records

Prosecutors gave Imran a copy of the laptop found in a phone booth / Federal court records

That seemed to set up a situation where Imran was shielding the laptop that Wasserman Schultz had initially not wanted examined, but where it would be within his power to drop the objection. That's significant because House sources have said that Democrats aren't cooperating with the Awans' prosecution, and raised questions about whether he has <u>leverage over</u> them.

Former U.S. Attorney Andrew McCarthy questioned that attorney-client privilege applies to the laptop at all, saying, "If I give my lawyer my bank records and ask him if they show evidence of a crime, the bank records <u>do not become [attorney-client] privileged</u> — only his advice to me would be [attorney-client] privileged. And if I stuck a sign on my bank records that said '[attorney-client] privileged documents,' that would not make them [attorney-client] privileged documents."

Wasserman Schultz has declined to answer questions about the laptop, but given that it was government equipment that, as she noted previously, belongs to her, she might be able to explicitly give permission to prosecutors to look at it. In particular, if Imran wasn't authorized to have the laptop, it could be more difficult to invoke privilege on it. (Wasserman Schultz's brother is an assistant U.S. attorney at the same office that is handling the case, and he has <u>tweeted dismissively</u> about it.)

Rather than simply swatting down the claim and using what McCarthy said is the universally employed solution to such a dilemma — using a "taint team" to go through the laptop and isolate specific files that are communications to Imran's lawyer — prosecutors have delayed the court hearing five times, telling the judge repeatedly: "The government has been in discussions with counsel for the defendants regarding complex discovery issues and other legal issues in this case, in particular issues surrounding claims of attorney-client privilege being raised by defendant Imran Awan," <u>court papers</u> filed Tuesday say.

The next court date is set for May 4, though could be postponed a sixth time.

- <u>Court docs</u>
- <u>Timeline</u>
- <u>Trump Is Right: A 'Pakistani Mystery Man' Has Documents Wasserman</u> <u>Schultz Didn't Want Prosecutors To See</u>
- <u>Summary: Fifteen Things To Know About 'Pakistani Mystery Man' Imran</u>
 <u>Awan</u>
- <u>Father Gave USB To Pakistani Official, Claimed Power To 'Change US</u> <u>President,' Ex-Partner Alleges</u>
- Hearing Reveals Congress Provided 'No Supervision' Of IT Aides, Missed Red Flags, But Members Block Proposed Reforms
- <u>Congress And Wasserman Schultz Negligent For Allowing Hacking</u> <u>Suspects Continued Access, Expert Says</u>
- <u>Exempted From Background Checks Despite Policy</u>
- Long History Of Alleged Witness Intimidation, Evidence Tampering

- <u>Why Did FBI Let A Hacking Suspect Leave The Country?</u>
- Intel Committee Dems 'Dangerous,' 'Negligent' In Handling Of Breach
- Internal Probe Reported 'Unauthorized Access' To Data; Officials <u>Misrepresented Findings As 'Theft'</u>
- House IT Aides Ran Car Dealership With Markings Of A Nefarious
 Money Laundering Operation
- <u>Becerra Tried To Block Awan From House Democratic Caucus Server, But</u> <u>Logins Continued; He Didn't Go To Cops</u>
- Hard Drive Tied to Wasserman Schultz Is Central To Imran Awan Case
- <u>18 Months In, Dem IT Aides Not Charged With Hill Crimes Despite</u> <u>Evidence</u>
- Used Political Influence To Have Fraud Charges Dropped In Pakistan
- <u>Own Wife Turns, Accuses Him Of Fraud, Violent Threats</u>
- Imran Awan 'Very Strongly' Wants To Block Review Of DWS' Hard Drive
- Lawyer Implicates Congressmen
- <u>Sending Money To Police Officer In Pakistan</u>
- <u>Funneling 'Massive' Data Off Congressional Server, Dems Claim It's</u> <u>Child's Homework</u>
- <u>'Like a Slave': Three Muslim Women, At Least One Of Them Bloodied</u>, <u>Called Police on Imran</u>
- Had Secret Server, Caught Falsifying Evidence To Cover It Up
- Imran Still Has Copy Of Laptop DWS Said Has Sensitive Leg-Branch Info
- <u>DWS Laptop Found at 3AM In Phone Booth With Letter To Prosecutors</u>
- <u>Awan Asks Judge To Remove GPS, Citing Possible Emergency With Kids-</u> <u>-Who Are In Pakistan</u>
- <u>Has Secret, Still-Active House Account--123@mail.house.gov--Linked To</u> <u>Intelligence Specialist</u>
- <u>DWS 'Islamophobia' Claim Prompts Angered Witnesses To Go Public</u>
- <u>Rep. Yvette Clarke Quietly Signed Away \$120,000 In Missing Equipment</u>
- Imran, Hina Indicted For Conspiracy Against U.S.
- <u>DWS Now Says Laptop She Sought To Keep From Police Was Awan's, Not</u> <u>Hers</u>
- Liquidating \$1.8M In Real Estate When Arrested, Some Still Pending
- <u>DWS Seemingly Planned To Pay Suspect Even While In Pakistan</u>
- Lawyer Is Longtime Clinton Associate
- <u>Arrested At Airport After Wiring \$300k To Pakistan</u>
- <u>FBI Seized Smashed Hard Drives From Home</u>
- Ex-DNC Head 'Negotiating' With Police Over Letting Them See Evidence

- <u>Dems Tying Themselves Into Knots To Ignore Criminal Probe</u>
- <u>'You'd Like Him:' Associates Describe 'Cunning,' Charismatic Con Artist</u>
- In Personal Lives, Evidence Of Massive Cons
- House Dems Hired A Fired McDonald's Worker As Email Administrator
- <u>DWS Admits To Violating Network Security, Blames House</u>
- <u>Wasserman Schultz Threatened Police Chief For Gathering Evidence</u>
- Suspect Has Fled To Pakistan, Relative Says
- House IT Aides Fear Suspects In Hill Breach Are Blackmailing Members
- <u>Read the Court Docs Detailing Their Greed, Ruthlessness</u>
- <u>Paul Ryan: Capitol Police Getting 'Assistance'</u>
- Brothers Could Read Every Email Of Dozens Of Congressmen
- ...Also Had Access To DNC Emails
- ...Allegedly Kept Stepmom In 'Captivity' To Access Offshore Cash
- ...Received \$4 Million From Dem Reps
- ...Owed Money To Hezbollah-Connected Fugitive
- ...Secretly Took \$100K In Iraqi Money
- <u>Brothers Had Massive Debts, Years Of Suspicious Activity</u>
- House Intelligence, Foreign Affairs Committee Members IT Compromised

The <u>IT guys in the House criminal probe</u> could read these members' emails

The Awans and their associates collected more than \$5 million in pay from congressional offices, often drawing chief-of-staff level pay though there is reason to believe many didn't even show up. The House's <u>internal probe</u> found they logged into servers they had no affiliation with, used members' usernames, covered their tracks, and persisted even after being fired.

The money is broken down by year, congressional office and family member paid: Imran, Abid and Jamal Awan and Hina Alvi, Natalia Sova, Rao Abbas, Hasseb Rana, and Muhammad Awan.