

HOW OBAMA HACKED THE U.S. ELECTIONS

[Paul Sperry](#) For **THE NEW YORK POST**

How Team Obama Hacked The Elections

By [Paul Sperry](#)

New revelations have surfaced that the Obama administration abused intelligence during the election by launching a massive domestic-spy campaign that included snooping on Trump officials.

The irony is mind-boggling: Targeting political opposition is long a technique of police states like Russia, which Team Obama has loudly condemned for allegedly using its own intelligence agencies to hack into our election.

The revelations, as well as testimony this week from former Obama intel officials, show the extent to which the Obama administration politicized and weaponized intelligence against Americans.

[Thanks to Circa News](#), we now know the National Security Agency under President Barack Obama routinely violated privacy protections while snooping through foreign intercepts involving US citizens — and failed to disclose the breaches, prompting the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court a month before the election to rebuke administration officials.

The story concerns what's known as “upstream” data collection under Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, under which the NSA looks at the content of electronic communication. Upstream refers to intel scooped up about third parties: Person A sends Person B an e-mail mentioning Person C. Though Person C isn't a party to the e-mail, his information will be scooped up and potentially used by the NSA.

Further, the number of NSA data searches about Americans mushroomed after Obama loosened rules for protecting such identities from government officials and thus the reporters they talk to.

The FISA court called it a “very serious Fourth Amendment issue” that NSA analysts — in violation of a 2011 rule change prohibiting officials from searching Americans' information without a warrant — “had been conducting such queries in violation of that prohibition, with much greater frequency than had been previously disclosed to the Court.”

A number of those searches were made from the White House, and included private citizens working for the Trump campaign, some of whose identities were leaked to the media. The revelations earned a stern rebuke from the ACLU and from civil-liberties champion Sen. Rand Paul.

We also learned this week that Obama intelligence officials really had no good reason attaching a summary of a dossier on Trump to a highly classified Russia briefing they gave to Obama just weeks before Trump took office.

[Under congressional questioning Tuesday](#), Obama's CIA chief John Brennan said the dossier did not "in any way" factor into the agency's assessment that Russia interfered in the election. Why not? Because as Obama intel czar James Clapper earlier testified, "We could not corroborate the sourcing."

But that didn't stop Brennan in January from attaching its contents to the official report for the president. He also included the unverified allegations in the briefing he gave Hill Democrats.

In so doing, Brennan virtually guaranteed that it would be leaked, which it promptly was.

In short, Brennan politicized raw intelligence. In fact, he politicized the entire CIA.

Langley vets say Brennan was the most politicized director in the agency's history. Former CIA field-operations officer Gene Coyle said Brennan was "known as the greatest sycophant in the history of the CIA, and a supporter of Hillary Clinton before the election. I find it hard to put any real credence in anything that the man says."

Coyle noted that Brennan broke with his predecessors who stayed out of elections. Several weeks before the vote, he made it very clear he was pulling for Hillary. His deputy Mike Morell even came out and publicly endorsed her in The New York Times, claiming Trump was an "unwitting agent" of Moscow.

Brennan isn't just a Democrat. He's a radical leftist who in 1980 — during the height of the Cold War — voted for a Communist Party candidate for president.

When Brennan rants about the dangers of strongman Vladimir Putin targeting our elections and subverting our democratic process, does he not catch at least a glimpse of his own reflection?

What he and the rest of the Obama gang did has inflicted more damage on the integrity of our electoral process than anything the Russians have done. Paul Sperry is the author of "[Infiltration: How Muslim Spies and Subversives Have Penetrated Washington](#)."

Deeper than simply "rogue CIA/NSA agency" abuse, the Obama Administration abused something far worse: The free will of the public.

You can find a huge number of YouTube movies and white papers, online, about an advertising trick called "Subliminal Messaging". The bottom line of all of the existing research is that the human mind can easily be tricked into thinking it is doing something out of free will, when, in fact, a political or advertising campaign is tricking your subconscious mind. No matter how smart, or savvy, you think you are, your mind can be tricked into hate, rage, murder or voting intentions by psychological media tricks.

The CIA are experts at using this technique to overthrow governments.

Obama used his financiers Google, Twitter and Facebook to trick the American public into voting for him. His team then tried to use the same media manipulation tricks to put Hillary Clinton in office.

Google, Twitter and Facebook used CIA technology to do these things. CIA and IN-Q-TEL officers work at, manage and fund, Google, Twitter and Facebook.

Google supplied the majority of the staff for the Obama Administration. Numerous investigative reports detail how Obama was “Google’s Bitch”. The connections are, by now, obvious. Google, Twitter and Facebook used over \$22 billion dollars of psychological warfare tricks and ultra-advanced subliminal messaging to put Obama in office and try to keep him there. Google’s Eric Schmidt is a spy more than anything else.

That is an FEC campaign financing **felony law violation** of over twenty one billion dollars. In other words, the Obama people never reported the twenty two billion dollars of services that they had Google, Twitter and Facebook expend on rigging DNC campaign interests. That is the largest FEC campaign law violation in history! They broke the law and NOBODY is holding them accountable!

Donald Trump’s “Trump Tower” was bugged to listen to meetings with, not only, Russian diplomats, but also oil industry execs and Peter Thiel’s biggest secrets. Who had the capability to pull that off? That answer is obvious.

We now have absolute, indisputable proof that Google rigged the U.S. elections but the Special Counsel and the top agencies refuse to allow it to be exposed in public investigations. All of the evidence has now been released to all of the transparency organizations and independent media and the public can read it and decide for themselves.

How does Google rig elections? This report describes 1% of the ways (Yes!, This only covers 1% of Google’s vast mental manipulation tricks) that Google’s sociopath bosses use to manipulate the public:

Research Proves Google Manipulates ...


... **Sputnik** News reported that **Google** was ... whether or not **Google's** executives are taking an active interest in **elections**; all by itself, **Google's** search ...

<https://sputniknews.com/us/201609121045214398-google>

More:

How Google Could Rig the 2016 Election

How Google Could Rig the 2016 Election. ... it's possible that **Google** decided the winner of the Indian election. **Google's** own daily data on ... **Sputnik** ...

 <https://theinternationalreporter.org/2015/08/21/how-google-could-rig-the-2016-...>

[How the Kremlin is trying to rig the US election - CNN.com](#)

Donald Trump is right that someone is trying to **rig** the US **election**, ... steal this **election** and **how**." **Sputnik** then ... "**Google** is directly ...
cnn.com/2016/11/02/opinions/kremlin-trying-to-rig...

[How Google Could Rig the 2016 Election - POLITICO Magazine](#)

Google can drive millions of votes to a ... There are three credible scenarios under which **Google** could easily be flipping **elections** worldwide as you ...

▶ politico.com/magazine/story/2015/08/how-google-could-r...

[Google Rigs Elections - Bing News](#)

... the power of **Google**'s algorithm to manipulate public opinion is so strong that it can influence up to 10 million undecided voters, ...

▶ <https://ssl.bing.com/news/search?q=Google+Rigs+Elections&FORM=...>

[How Google Could Rig The 2016 Election | Zero Hedge](#)

How Google Could **Rig** The 2016 **Election**. ... it's possible that **Google** decided the winner of the Indian **election**. **Google**'s own daily data on **election** ...

▶ zerohedge.com/news/2015-08-20/how-google-could-rig-2016...

[Abel Danger: Google and Facebook Under Investigation for ...](#)

Google and Facebook Under Investigation for Manipulating **Elections** for Obama and Hillary ...
CENTCOM Lies & **Google Rigs Elections** **Sputnik**; Technocratic Tyranny ...

▶ abeldanger.net/2017/03/google-and-facebook-under-investi...

[SPUTNIKEXCLUSIVE:Research ProvesGoogleManipulates ...](#)

for terms that could have hurt Hillary Clinton in the primary **elections** over the ... **SPUTNIK**
EXCLUSIVE: Research Proves **Google** ... https: ...

▶ <https://freebooks111.files.wordpress.com/2017/04/googlerigstheweb.pdf>

[How Russia is trying to rig the US election | Proud To Be A ...](#)

Donald Trump is right that someone is trying to **rig** the US **election**, ... for **Google**'s guilt. Trump has long ... **Google** will steal this **election** and **how**." **Sputnik** ...

▶ proudtobeademocrat.com/how-russia-is-trying-to-rig-the-us-election/

[This is How You Actually Rig an Election in the U.S ...](#)

This is **How** You Actually **Rig** an **Election** in ... **how** Republicans are trying to steal the **election** ...
Sputnik 2,533 followers ...

<https://spreaker.com/user/radiosputnik/this-is-how-you-actuall..>

Filed under [barack obama](#) , [cia](#) , [intelligence](#) , [john brennan](#) , [politics](#) , [spying](#), google corruption,



[Tech](#)

NSA has been studying your social ties exactly as the paranoids warned us about...



By [acc](#)

James Risen and Laura Poitras, two journalists who have experienced first-hand the consequences of pissing off the federal government in the course of performing uncompromising investigative journalism, have a story in today's New York Times revealing a new layer of the NSA's domestic surveillance activities. Edward Snowden's leaked documents are the source of the report, which shows that since November 2010, NSA has been mining its vast data collections to "create sophisticated graphs of some Americans' social connections that can identify their associates, their locations at certain times, their traveling companions and other personal information."

The policy shift was intended to help the agency “discover and track” connections between intelligence targets overseas and people in the United States, according to an N.S.A. memorandum from January 2011. The agency was authorized to conduct “large-scale graph analysis on very large sets of communications metadata without having to check foreignness” of every e-mail address, phone number or other identifier, the document said. Because of concerns about infringing on the privacy of American citizens, the computer analysis of such data had previously been permitted only for foreigners.

The agency can augment the communications data with material from public, commercial and other sources, including bank codes, insurance information, Facebook profiles, passenger manifests, voter registration rolls and GPS location information, as well as property records and unspecified tax data, according to the documents. They do not indicate any restrictions on the use of such “enrichment” data, and several former senior Obama administration officials said the agency drew on it for both Americans and foreigners. Obama is now thought to have used the data to attack and manipulate U.S. voters in order to rig the elections.

N.S.A. officials declined to say how many Americans have been caught up in the effort, including people involved in no wrongdoing. The documents do not describe what has resulted from the scrutiny, which links phone numbers and e-mails in a “contact chain” tied directly or indirectly to a person or organization overseas that is of foreign intelligence interest.

HOW GOOGLE IS RIGGING THE “NET NEUTRALITY” LAWS TO FAVOR OBAMA AND CLINTON

Google and the Silicon Valley Pedes want to deliver limited and psychologically-rigged information designed to manipulate you into believing untrue things so that Google’s investors can profit off of your fears!

The Inconvenient Truth Behind The Net Neutrality Movement



[William Craddick](#)

The control of private information on the internet has been a contentious for as long as the people have been online. It has long been the consensus that maintaining internet freedoms is essential for free expression, the exchange of ideas and the ability for proponents of democracy and human rights activists to mobilize and advocate for political, social, and economic reform. Currently, the debate about internet freedom is centered around the concept of net neutrality.

Net neutrality is the principle that internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites by offering different speeds of bandwidth to different service providers. While publications such as [Forbes](#) and [The Heritage Foundation](#) paint net neutrality as a principle which threatens internet freedoms in the long term and hurts consumers by reducing their ability to customize internet services offered to them, proponents argue that it ensures equal access to the internet. However, major supporters of net neutrality have created doubts about the concept due to their increasing support of censorship, violations of personal privacy and attacks against political opponents and journalists.

The most immediate issue with net neutrality is the fact that many of the groups supporting it are purportedly concerned with social issues which are totally unrelated. One such organization is the protest movement Color of Change. Color of Change's website states that their mission is "design campaigns powerful enough to end practices that unfairly hold black people back." However, the group has increasingly begun to focus on advocating for net neutrality, a cause which does not appear to be related to their mission statement in any obvious manner. [Color of Change](#) claims that changes the FCC plans to make to net neutrality rules will "devastate black communities" without

bothering to explain exactly how this might happen. In February 2015, the executive director of Color for Change Rashad Robinson published an opinion piece in [The Hill](#) where he claimed that securing the right to net neutrality victory would be “civil rights history in the making.”

Despite their apparent support for the principle of net neutrality, Color for Change has primarily concerned itself with attacking journalists who report news with a conservative perspective, including Fox News personalities [Sean Hannity](#) and [Bill O’Reilly](#). On May 18th, 2017, [USA News](#) reported that Color of Change and other protest groups planned a protest and meeting with FCC officials ahead of it’s intended vote to repeal [Obama era protections](#) requiring that all internet traffic be offered equally. Rather than focus on defending “information equality,” the protest seemed to center around attacking alternative media. Signs photographed at the event demanded censorship of the Drudge Report, Breitbart News and conservative journalists. [Video footage](#) of the event shows Color of Change speakers stating that O’Reilly’s firing from Fox News was a result of net neutrality advocacy. The FCC ultimately ruled 2-1 to start the process of eliminating net neutrality rules and begin classification of home and mobile internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.



The focus on censorship of the media at an event purporting to focus on “information equality” is no surprise given Color of Change’s financial supporters. Data published by the [Center for Responsive Politics](#) shows that in 2016, billionaire George Soros made two payments totaling \$400,000 to the group. The [Washington Examiner](#) has stated that Soros and the Ford Foundation have donated over \$196 million to various net neutrality advocacy groups. Soros has spent hundreds of millions supporting various anti-government movements, including the [Women’s March](#), the [People’s Climate March](#), the [Tax Day](#) protests and far left Berkeley protest group [Refuse Fascism](#). The [ACLU](#) also began actively organizing and training protest movements just one month after Soros sank \$35 million into the group.

Other big name proponents of net neutrality have themselves been implicated in improper censorship and violations of personal privacy. In April, [The Verge](#) reported that Google, Netflix and Facebook were among a number of companies leading a group known as The Internet Association in efforts to lobby for retaining protections of net neutrality. The involvement of these tech giants in pushing for net neutrality raises troubling questions about who truly benefits from regulations which support the concept.

Since the end of the 2016 US presidential elections, [Google](#) has increasingly become involved in censorship of so-called “fake news.” In spring of 2017, [Google News Lab](#) used its CrossCheck project to fight “fake news” prior to the French presidential election in collaboration with journalists, newsrooms, and social media companies alike. What CrossCheck appeared to act a function that took the liberty of appointing various groups to collaboratively decide what is true or false in real-time. Google’s push to become involved in censorship came after George Soros acquired stakes in [Netflix as well as Google’s holding company Alphabet](#).

Facebook has similarly faced criticism for engaging in censorship and instances of improper access to user’s personal data. In February, [Disobedient Media](#) discovered that Facebook was self-censoring links to a story by CNBC which discussed comments picked up on a hot mic during a conversation between German Chancellor Angela Merkel and Facebook founder Mark Zuckerberg where Merkel asked Zuckerberg to censor speech critical of immigrants and Zuckerberg agreed to do so. The censorship came after Zuckerberg edited a manifesto to remove an admission that he supported monitoring private channels of communication. In February 2017, Zuckerberg released a [5,700 word essay](#) warning about “isolationist” threats to globalism, stating that Facebook was there to help counteract popular trends towards nationalism and pro-sovereign state ideologies. The [original draft](#) of the essay was “revised” to remove a reference which had revealed that Facebook actively monitored private conversations of individuals accused of plotting terror attacks.

In March 2017, [BBC News](#) conducted an investigation exposing a child abuse ring operating on Facebook which resulted in a four year prison sentence for one of the offenders. In response to the BBC’s report, Facebook left 80% of photos depicting child abuse online, then reported the BBC journalists to the police before cancelling plans for an interview. Facebook subsequently apologized for their behavior, but [The Times](#) reported in April that the social media giant may face criminal prosecution relating to the images of child abuse as well as pro-jihadist content which was being shared on the website. A May 2017 report by [Heat Street](#) has also revealed that Facebook has been continually shutting down “ex-Muslim” and atheist groups using its social media services.

While the debate may continue for some years to come, the open support by large sponsors for federal regulation raises serious concerns about net neutrality. The deep financial involvement of George Soros, the focus of protest groups on targeting free speech and the colored history of corporate sponsors of the principle makes it clear that “information equality” is likely much darker than it appears on the surface.



[William Craddick](#)

Writer, Editor-in-Chief, and founder of Disobedient Media.

[American Affairs](#), [Censorship](#), [Main Stream Media](#), [Media & Tech](#), [Social Media](#), [US Politics Bill O'Reilly](#), [Censorship](#), [Color of Change](#), [Facebook](#), [Fox News](#), [Free Speech](#), [George Soros](#), [Google](#), [Net Neutrality](#), [Netflix](#), [Sean Hannity](#)



[Net neutrality: 'Dead people' signing FCC consultation - stole our names and addresses, publicly exposed our private information without our permission](#)
([bbc.com](#))

submitted ago by [rspix000](#) to [technology](#) (+20|-0)

- [4 comments](#)

A NEW DEATH- THE OTHER SETH RICH HAS BEEN FOUND DEAD!!!

- **[Beranton J. Whisenant Jr.](#)** [Federal Prosecutor and Lead Attorney In Massive DNC Voter Fraud Case Found Dead Of Possible Gunshot Wound - He Was From \(\(\(Debbie Wasserman Schultz's \)\)\) District.](#) He was investigating Debbie Wasserman Schultz for corruption and may have been Seth Rich's co-leaker... ASK QUESTIONS/DEMAND MORE ANSWERS!