

INVESTIGATION-22 Part 12. Stealing Technology

Mon, 22 Jun 2015 17:00:00, newstips66, [category: hired-assassins, category: idea-theft, post_tag: investigation-22, post_tag: investigation-22-part-12-stealing-technology, post_tag: shockers, category: worldnews]

[THE XYZ CASE: AN ORGANIZED CRIME INVESTIGATION
A PEER-TO-PEER LAW ENFORCEMENT PROJECT](#)

- [HOME](#)
- [BREAKING NEWS](#)
- [THE ATTACKS](#)
- [TESLA-SOLYNDRA](#)
- [CARTEL DOCUMENTS](#)

It's nice to know the Defendants think so much of us they are trying to make a federal law to outlaw inventors. Apparently, stealing things can become legal if you pay off the right Senators.

Silicon Valley lobbyists trying to get out of paying inventors! Tries to create law to make intellectual property theft OK! Proposed Bill Is Anti-Innovation and Serves Only Special Interest Groups

www.aminn.org

New York, N.Y. – June 6, 2014 – American Innovators for Patent Reform (AIPR), an industry group representing small patent owners – start-ups, R&D companies, universities and independent inventors – as well as patent practitioners, is opposed to the "Trade Protection Not Troll Protection" bill introduced last month by Rep. Blake Farenthold (R-TX).

"This is yet another misguided 'anti-troll' legislative proposal that misses the point entirely, and shows a fundamental lack of understanding by Rep. Farenthold of what a patent really is," says Alexander Poltorak, founder and President of American Innovators for Patent Reform. "Non-practicing entities – what Rep. Farenthold calls 'patent trolls' – are no different from any other patent holder because practicing a patented technology has no relevance in patent law. A patent is a quid-pro-quo for invention disclosure, not for practice of the patent," explains Dr. Poltorak.

"Our Founding Fathers were very clear when they included the 'patent and copyright' clause in the U.S. Constitution," adds Alec Schibanoff, Executive Director of AIPR. "Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 establishes the purpose of patents and copyrights to be to '...promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts...' There is no reference in the Constitution to inventors practicing their inventions or song-writers singing their songs."

The sharp rise in the use of the International Trade Commission (ITC) for patent disputes is not a sign of litigation abuse, but a direct consequence of the eBay Supreme Court Decision, which muddled the definition of a patent as the 'right to exclude' and made it practically impossible for an NPE to obtain an injunction against an infringer of the patent-at-suit. The ITC has the mandate to issue an exclusion order, which is not available to non-practicing entities in a Federal Court. Hence, the ITC has become the battleground of choice for patent litigation," elaborates Dr. Poltorak.

American Innovators for Patent Reform calls on every engineer, researcher, inventor and entrepreneur – and every U.S. citizen who values American innovation – to write to Rep Farenthold and urge him to withdraw this misconceived bill!

About American Innovators for Patent Reform

Headquartered in New York City, American Innovators for Patent Reform (AIPR) represents a broad constituency of American innovators and innovation stakeholders, including inventors, engineers, researchers, entrepreneurs, patent owners, small businesses, universities, investors, and intellectual property professionals such as patent attorneys, patent agents, tech transfer managers and licensing executives.

AIPR opposes any patent legislation that makes it more difficult to enforce patents because such legislation ultimately weakens the U.S. Patent system and decreases the value of patents. AIPR advocates patent reform that creates a multi-tier patent system, strengthens U.S. patents, and provides full funding for the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

For more information about AIPR, please visit www.aminn.org



REGULATION
Small businesses claim US government stealing their ideas

By Eric Shawn
Published December 17, 2013
FoxNews.com



"They stole all my stuff and used taxpayer money to do it," John Hnatio, a Maryland small business owner, says of the U.S. government.

Hnatio claims the government has put his company, FoodquestIQ, nearly out of business by stealing his firm's software that was designed to be licensed to the Food and Drug Administration to monitor food safety.

The FDA "look our ideas, plagiarized my doctoral dissertation on which a patent was based, and then they infringed on our patent. The result was that it decimated our business," he adds.

Hnatio says his company has been left hanging by a thread. He has had to fire employees and says that the remaining three, including himself, are receiving no salary and have been forced to go on unemployment insurance.

"I have never seen anything like it," says Hnatio, who is a retired federal government official.

He says the FDA "duplicated exactly what we were selling to industry and they were giving it away for free...instead of

helping small business commercialize their product, what we are seeing is a dragon, in the name of the U.S. government that is eating their own young."

FoodquestIQ is only one of numerous small businesses that accuse the government of stealing their intellectual property or trade secrets when they enter into contracts or research agreements with federal agencies.

"The government interceded, stole the technology and attempted to use this in classified programs," says Jim O'Keefe, the president of the small New Jersey technology company Demodulation. He has filed a \$50 million lawsuit against the U.S. government, accusing it of taking his firm's research.

Demodulation developed an advanced technology involving fiber coated wire, called microwire, which is thinner than a human hair. The company says its microwire can be used for a variety of national security applications, such as tracking drones, keeping tabs on soldiers on battlefields, transmitting information without a power source, and that it even has the ability "to render objects invisible to radar."

"It sounds incredible and impossible that the U.S. government is taking things from people," says Demodulation lawyer Sean Callagy. "We believe this is the greatest country in the world with the greatest justice system in the world but the U.S. government is not an eagle or a flag, but human beings. And human beings make mistakes."

The lawsuit accuses the Department of Energy and the National Nuclear Security Administration, among others, of illegally swiping the firm's information by "using microwire and Demodulation's trade secrets in its mission to gather intelligence."

It also says that the U.S. has even built "a secure facility for the production of microwire" on its own.

"There are classified reports showing the technology," declares Demodulation attorney Ben Light, who says that after the company "shared the secret sauce" about microwire with federal officials, they simply "look (the) wire."

The Department of Energy referred Fox News requests for comment to the National Nuclear Security Administration, which did not respond to repeated requests for a comment about the company's allegations.

The Department of Justice denies Demodulation's charges in court filings.

Stuart Delery, an Acting Assistant Attorney General, wrote that while "the United States admits that it continues to conduct research regarding what is generally known as 'microwire,'" he says that the government did not act improperly.

The Department of Justice claims the government did not take any proprietary information or develop the microwire technology based on Demodulation's work, and that "none of the asserted patents have been infringed on by the United States."

Delery also pointed out that some of Demodulation's patents had expired.

"The only reason the patents expired is because Demodulation was driven out of business," responds the firm's lawyer, Light. "It doesn't affect the entire case because any infringement during the period when the patents were enforced is still compensable."

O'Keefe says the government denials are "an impossibility based on the evidence I have."

He is calling for "reform and legislation to protect us. I hope through our litigation we will be able to expose some of the problems."

It turns out that the government is routinely accused of similar wrongdoing and sometimes has to pony up.

The U.S. Army settled a case in November by paying \$50 million to a Texas company, Appricty, which claimed the government took some of its software, which tracks military equipment from MRE's to troops, without paying for it.

The company's court papers said that the government "willfully infringed" on its copyrights, "failed to provide information" about what it did and was engaged in "actively concealing the Army's misappropriation of Appricty software."

The complaint said the Army paid for using the software on five servers and 150 devices, but actually "copied and installed Appricty software on at least 98 servers and at least 9,063 devices" without telling the company.

"I don't think there was malicious intent," Appricty's founder and president Tim Garcia tells Fox News in the aftermath of the settlement. He says his company pursued its case by the "standard process through the Court of Claims."

There are numerous other companies that have filed similar actions at the Washington, D.C.-based court, which is the venue for legal claims against the federal government. Among them:

Liberty Ammunition, which is suing the government for allegedly infringing on its copyright for developing a lead-free "green bullet" after it worked on the invention with the Department of Defense.

Net Results, which claims that the Army infringed on its patent for a "mine detecting device" by giving out its design to six other government contractors.

In 2009, NASA was ordered to pay \$28.3 million to Boeing after the court found that the government infringed on the company's aluminum alloy patent.

In a noted case in 1999, the U.S. government paid then Hughes Electronics \$154 million in damages after a 30-year long legal battle found that the government illegally appropriated the company's satellite technology.

The U.S. Court of Federal Claims calls itself "the people's court," and says it is considered "the keeper of the nation's conscience." It is situated right across Lafayette Park from the White House.

"There is no reason to think it can't happen," observes New York University law Professor Jeanne Fromer, an intellectual property and copyright law specialist.

"The government can take patent rights, as long as they compensate for it. It is not dissimilar, in that sense, to notions of eminent domain."

"The government is a big sprawling place and there are lots of people acting in it. I think some of them act very nobly...but it's hard to say that everyone always does."

"We are hearing more frequently from companies about intellectual property theft by the government," notes John Palatello, head of the Washington, D.C.-area lobbying group, the Business Coalition for Fair Competition, which is studying the issue.

"Companies are becoming more vocal about it."

Hnatio believes there is a troubling explanation for alleged government finching.

"What we are seeing is a direct competition between the private sector and the U.S. government. The problem for small businesses is that they are simply being destroyed by their own government in spite of the fact that we hear politicians say all the time, that small business is important...It's extremely disturbing because it means we lose jobs, and it means we lose our competitive edge in the world. It creates a very dangerous situation for our national security."

Fox News repeatedly requested comment from the FDA regarding Hnatio's allegations about FoodquestIQ, but the agency did not issue a statement.

While the Demodulation case is expected to go to trial next year, Hnatio says he has been left without any money to hire a lawyer to go to court.

"From the time I was a little kid I dreamed of starting a business. But I do have to tell you that there is a grave danger to the American dream," he says.

Follow Eric Shawn on Twitter: @EricShawnFox

Becky Diamond contributed to this report.

BCFC
Business Coalition for Fair Competition

- BCFC Home
- About BCFC
- How Government Competes
- News-Policy-Resources
- Events
- Contact Us
- Join BCFC

BCFC

"The Federal government will not start or carry on any commercial activity to provide a service or product for its own use if such product or service can be procured from private enterprise through ordinary business channels."

--Bureau of the Budget Bulletin 55-4, January 15, 1955

Mission:
To advocate for public policies that promotes the utilization of and reliance upon the private sector by government at all levels and that eliminates unfair government-sponsored competition with private, for profit enterprise, including small business.

Interested in learning more about BCFC?
[Sign Up for Email Updates](#)

For Email Marketing you can trust.

STOP
UNFAIR GOVERNMENT
COMPETITION

"Corrupt politicians, and criminals, who engage in crimes in the dark will always, eventually, have to face the light. Welcome to the AGE OF TRANSPARENCY" This site has been replicated on a vast number of mirror servers. Any take-down attempts will be trace-routed back to source and crime-reported, Constitutionally charged and the individuals, and their sponsors litigated, against. Hard bound copies from LuLu, and other printing services, as well as DVD's of this site, and the top 4 reference sites, are provided, on request, to all members of The U.S. Congress, weekly. Multiple federal law enforcement agencies are now advising. All material provided by agencies, reporters and witnesses. No illegal material is on this site, but investigators do have much material in hand that the public has yet to see.

See what happened to reporters and taxpaying members of the public who tried to report the crimes in the XYZ case at: <http://www.paybackpolitics.org>

We are now working with, and/or cooperating with:



MULTIPLE FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTITIES



MULTIPLE LAW FIRMS AND INVESTIGATORS



THE U.S. FEDERAL COURTS



THE U.S. SENATE

..AND OTHER REGULATORY AND INVESTIGATIVE RESOURCES...

"This victory belongs to you... You did this for every family that has been squeezed and hammered. We are going to fight for a level playing field and we are going to put people back to work. To all the small-business owners who are tired of a system rigged against them, we're going to hold the big guys accountable." - Elizabeth Warren

**CLICK HERE TO SEARCH
CRIMINAL CORRUPTION
SUSPECTS**

[CLICK HERE TO CONTACT YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE AND DEMAND ACTION!](#)

ONE MILLION DOLLAR REWARD

For information, data or evidence leading to the arrest and prosecution of third parties who engaged in data hacking and device access theft of applicants networks or communication devices

**END THE COVER-UPS!
START THE PERP WALKS!
WHERE IS THE
SPECIAL PROSECUTOR?
WHERE IS THE JUSTICE?**

[RIP: GARY D. CONLEY - INNOVATOR](#)

SUBMIT TIPS, NEWS & LINKS:

Name *

First

Last

Email *

Comment *

This is a community WIKI news publication data aggregation site protected under 1st Amendment, "Fair Use Doctrine" & constitutional laws in support of active criminal investigations & trials. This Peer-To-Peer Law Enforcement Program brings agencies, journalists and the public together in a collaborative fact-finding effort.

[Have you been subjected to character assassination, career assassination, economic targeting and/or IRS targeting because you reported federal officials who engaged in a crime? File a report with the FBI and major national news organizations.](#)

Submit